

United States District Court
for the Western District of Pennsylvania

United States of America,

v.

Frederick Banks,

Defendant

15CR168

FILED

APR 10 2018

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WEST. DIST. OF PENNSYLVANIADefendant's Reply to Supplemental Memorandum Order
Regarding Self-Representation By the Defendant and Memorandum Order ECF 61 + 617

Frederick Banks an American Indian represents as follows:

1. In perhaps the biggest display of either cluelessness or cover up we've perhaps ever seen in judicial history this Court continues to deny that it has actual bias and refuses to recuse while continuing to constantly admonish Defendant as if's purposely delayed by it and the government delay of the case is blatant violation of due process. In fact the Court continues to scold Defendant for exposing on this public record and using the pocket like president Trump uses Twitter a brutal and open leader campaigns of devastation by the FBI, DOJ and CIA on completely innocent US citizens by the Government which this Court is a willing participant by it nothing else collection. Defendant is quite sure that the American public finds it laughable and sad that the Court dismisses scholars and writers in the documented books which by the way site to numerous credible sources such as the Washington post and NY Times and include Senator Rand Paul and former Governor Jesse Ventura. The words "Court ridiculous" comes to mind. The Court is clueless as to the fact that the Executive Branch through the CIA by way of the FBI may even be controlling it in the author of poppycock. Also, this court in all of its prior decisions failed to apply the literal constitution of the Indian Canon in further violation of Defendant's rights.
2. Case in point is *Witt v. Larry Devinsmore* (WSPR) a case in this court with the Judge the Court told Defendant that he had to withdraw his pro se motion to dismiss that it knew Frederick Banks drafted for it to accept the plea in that case. A plea that because of Banks' motion off top reduced Devinsmore's plea letter time from 168 months to 80 months with room left over for further reductions at sentencing. Yet this court continued to make convoluted statements between cases that Banks is "incompetent" to represent himself. This inconsistency of this Court speaks volumes to its actual bias of Banks yet instead of recusing like any fair and non bias Judge would it goes into an unsupported rant, cites no

The US Marshall conducted a bogus transfer of Defendant to disrupt Defendant's civil actions which this Court readily accepted as routine. Noted that the Banks v. Thorne litigation will be appealed and that the Court has no jurisdiction over immunity for due process violations which define it of subject matter jurisdiction. If this Court was 100% spot on right all the time as it claims to be then it wouldn't have been reversed in USA v. Rafael Cabrera (3rd Cir) from a vehicle Appeal Defendant filed, WJG. Because of a Bank/ and Cabrera lawsuit against Hornok this case should never have been assigned to this Judge and the Clerk's Office is liable for that assignment.

authority and for example continues to claim it did not participate in plea negotiations by stating on the record that Defendant's refusal to accept a time served plea offer speaks to his lack of competency. The case law is directly in conflict with this since the Court did in fact participate among a laundry list of other things in plea negotiations Defendant's due process was violated and it must recede and dismiss the case. Both Senator Rand Paul and Jesse Ventura are potential witnesses not as defenders of the elements of the crime but to prove "motive" of the government in trying this case as are the other authors and Targeted Individuals and CIA government officials to yes include even Ivanka Trump, Dr. Heather Bell and Dr. Allison Marquez. History will expose this government as both diabolical and Apple rotten despite the court's cover-up.

Wherefore, the case should be dismissed with prejudice and Defendant should receive a large sum of monetary compensation pursuant to the Bill of Rights Act in accordance with the Court's policy of paying Sprint + Facebook with glee while routinely "not doing right" to our poor and rich" citizens by claiming it lacks authority to compensate for outrageous government misconduct. Another Sham.¹

Respectfully Submitted,




Frederick Banks
110 Fifth Ave # 0063533
Youngstown, OH 44503
Defendant

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on this 6th day of April, 2018 I have a true and correct copy of the foregoing by mail delivery upon the following

Robert Delaney, Plaintiff
Shannon Schoenung
You v. US Courtrooms
700 Court St.
Pittsburg, PA 15214

1. Defendant JH does not have the Discovery/printed Discovery Copy that he had when he was transferred. His 161 Discovery is not on the computer hard drive. NECC did not allow Banks to bring anything with him when he transferred including his religious materials which properly violated his right to practice worship and The First Amendment Establishment Clause.


Frederick Banks